



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

512-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

MIDLAND MEMORIAL HOSPITAL
3255 WEST PIONEER PARKWAY
ARLINGTON TEXAS 76013

Respondent Name

Liberty Insurance Corp

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 01

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-09-8479-01

MFDR Date Received

May 19, 2009

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Per the new fee schedule this account qualifies for an Outlier payment..."

Amount in Dispute: \$314.35

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Additional reimbursement issued."

Response Submitted by: Liberty Insurance Corp

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
September 9 – 12, 2008	Outpatient Hospital Services	\$314.35	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, titled *Hospital Facility Fee Guideline – Outpatient*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for facility services provided in an outpatient acute care hospital.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.203, titled *Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services*, sets out the reimbursement guidelines for professional medical services.
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.4 requires written notification to health care providers regarding contractual agreements for informal and voluntary networks.
5. 28 Texas Administrative Code §102.4 sets out general rules regarding communications.
6. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - Z710 – THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE.
 - P303 – THIS SERVICE WAS REVIEWED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR CONTRACT

- B291 – THIS IS A BUNDLED OR NON COVERED PROCEDURE BASED ON MEDICARE GUIDELINES; NO SEPARATE PAYMENT ALLOWED.

Issues

1. Are the disputed services subject to a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute?
2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement for the disputed services?
3. What is the recommended payment amount for the services in dispute?
4. Is the requestor entitled to reimbursement?

Findings

1. The insurance carrier reduced or denied disputed services with reason code Z710 – “THE CHARGE FOR THIS PROCEDURE EXCEEDS THE FEE SCHEDULE ALLOWANCE and P303 – THIS SERVICE WAS REVIEWED IN ACCORDANCE WITH YOUR CONTRACT”. Review of the submitted information found insufficient documentation to support that the disputed services were subject to a contractual fee arrangement between the parties to this dispute. Nevertheless, on October 6, 2010, the Division requested the respondent to provide a copy of the referenced contract as well as documentation to support notification to the healthcare provider, as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.4, that the insurance carrier had been given access to the contracted fee arrangement. Review of the submitted information finds that the documentation does not support notification to the healthcare provider in the time and manner required, nor does the documentation support that the respondent had been granted access to the health care provider's contracted fee arrangement during the time of the disputed services. The notice does not include the name, physical address, and telephone number of any person given access to the network's fee arrangement with the health care provider as required by §133.4(d)(2)(A). The notice does not include the start date and any end date during which the respondent had been given access to the contracted fee arrangement as required by §133.4(d)(2)(B). The notification letter is not dated. No explanation or documentation was found to support the contents of the submitted postmarked envelope, nor is the envelope addressed to the health care provider. No documentation was found to support receipt of the notification by the health care provider. Neither the signature date, the postmark date, nor the receipt date can be established from the submitted documentation to support delivery to the health care provider in accordance with the requirements of §133.4(e) and 28 Texas Administrative Code §§102(p) and (h). Thorough review of the submitted documentation finds no convincing evidence of timely notification in accordance with §133.4(f). The Division concludes that, pursuant to §133.4(g), the insurance carrier is not entitled to pay the health care provider at a contracted fee. Consequently, per §133.4(h), the disputed services will be reviewed for payment in accordance with applicable Division rules and fee guidelines.
2. This dispute relates to facility services performed in an outpatient hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403, which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule. Per §134.403(f)(1), the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 200 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables is not applicable.
3. Under the Medicare Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS), each billed service is assigned an Ambulatory Payment Classification (APC) based on the procedure code used, the supporting documentation and the other services that appear on the bill. A payment rate is established for each APC. Depending on the services provided, hospitals may be paid for more than one APC per encounter. Payment for ancillary and supportive items and services, including services that are billed without procedure codes, is packaged into payment for the primary service. A full list of APCs is published quarterly in the OPPS final rules which are publicly available through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) website. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated as follows:
 - Procedure code A4565 has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), (h) When there is no negotiated or contracted amount that complies with Labor Code §413.011, reimbursement shall be the least of the: (1) MAR amount; (2) health care provider's usual and customary charge, unless directed by Division rule to bill a specific amount; the health care provider's usual and customary charge is \$23.75 this amount divided by the Medicare conversion factor of 38.087 and multiplied by the Division conversion factor of 52.83 yields a MAR of \$32.94. Reimbursement is the lesser of the MAR or the provider's usual and customary charge of \$23.75. The lesser amount is \$23.75.

- Procedure code 80048 has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), for outpatient services for which Medicare reimburses using fee schedules other than OPPS, reimbursement is made using the applicable Division fee guideline in effect for that service on the date the service was provided. Facility payment for the technical component of this service is calculated according to the Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services, §134.203(e)(1). The fee listed for this code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule is \$11.83. 125% of this amount is \$14.79
 - Procedure code 85025 has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), for outpatient services for which Medicare reimburses using fee schedules other than OPPS, reimbursement is made using the applicable Division fee guideline in effect for that service on the date the service was provided. Facility payment for the technical component of this service is calculated according to the Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services, §134.203(e)(1). The fee listed for this code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule is \$10.86. 125% of this amount is \$13.57
 - Procedure code 81003 has a status indicator of A, which denotes services paid under a fee schedule or payment system other than OPPS. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.403(h), for outpatient services for which Medicare reimburses using fee schedules other than OPPS, reimbursement is made using the applicable Division fee guideline in effect for that service on the date the service was provided. Facility payment for the technical component of this service is calculated according to the Medical Fee Guideline for Professional Services, §134.203(e)(1). The fee listed for this code in the Medicare Clinical Fee Schedule is \$3.14. 125% of this amount is \$3.93
 - Procedure code 88304 has a status indicator of X, which denotes ancillary services paid under OPPS with separate APC payment. These services are classified under APC 343, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$32.75. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$19.65. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9712 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$19.08. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$13.10. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$32.18. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,575. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$32.18. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$64.36.
 - Procedure code 11750 has a status indicator of T, which denotes a significant procedure subject to multiple-procedure discounting. The highest paying status T procedure is paid at 100%; all others are paid at 50%. This procedure is paid at 100%. These services are classified under APC 19, which, per OPPS Addendum A, has a payment rate of \$274.13. This amount multiplied by 60% yields an unadjusted labor-related amount of \$164.48. This amount multiplied by the annual wage index for this facility of 0.9712 yields an adjusted labor-related amount of \$159.74. The non-labor related portion is 40% of the APC rate or \$109.65. The sum of the labor and non-labor related amounts is \$269.39. The cost of these services does not exceed the annual fixed-dollar threshold of \$1,575. The outlier payment amount is \$0. The total Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount for this line is \$269.39. This amount multiplied by 200% yields a MAR of \$538.78.
 - Procedure code J0670 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
 - Procedure code J2250 has a status indicator of N, which denotes packaged items and services with no separate APC payment; payment is packaged into the reimbursement for other services, including outliers.
4. The total allowable reimbursement for the services in dispute is \$659.18. This amount less the amount previously paid by the insurance carrier of \$664.41 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$0.00. No additional reimbursement can be recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. No reimbursement can be recommended.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

Signature

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer

September , 2013
Date

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute may appeal this decision by requesting a contested case hearing. A completed **Request for a Medical Contested Case Hearing** (form **DWC045A**) must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request for a hearing to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the *Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision* together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c), including a **certificate of service demonstrating that the request has been sent to the other party.****

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.